		FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII				
SCARLET	HONOLULU.	TNC - ET	ΔΤ)	Case No. 21-CV-00457-MWJS-KJM	
ОСПКЕНТ	nononono,	1110., PI	7111.)	case No. 21 ev ou 107 11woo Roll	
		Plaint	iffs,)	October 8, 2024	
)	1:14 p.m.	
	VS.)		
)		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

HONOLULU LIQUOR COMMISSION, ET)
AL.,

) 300 Ala Moana Boulevard Defendant.) Honolulu, HI 96850

U.S. District Court

TRANSCRIPT OF SETTLEMENT ON THE RECORD
BEFORE THE HONORABLE KENNETH J. MANSFIELD
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiffs: James D. DiPasquale, Esq.

DiPasquale & Summers, LLP 841 Bishop Street, Suite 1610

Honolulu, HI 96813

For the Defendants: Lex R. Smith, Esq.

Aaron R. Mun, Esq.

Zachary K. Shikada, Esq. Kobayashi Sugita & Goda

999 Bishop Street, Suite 2600

Honolulu, HI 96813

Transcription Service: Jessica B. Cahill, CER/CET-708

Maukele Transcribers, LLC

467 Maukele Place

Wailuku, Maui, HI 96793 Telephone: (808)298-8633

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.

```
1
    OCTOBER 8, 2024
                                                  1:14 P.M.
 2
              THE CLERK: The United States District Court for the
    District of Hawaii, with the Honorable Kenneth J. Mansfield,
 3
 4
    United States Magistrate judge presiding, is now in session.
 5
              MR. SMITH: Good afternoon.
              THE CLERK: I'll call the case.
 6
 7
              MR. SMITH: Sorry.
              THE CLERK: That's okay. Civil number 21-00457MWJS-
 8
 9
    KJM, Scarlet Honolulu, Inc., et al. v. Honolulu Liquor
10
    Commission. This case has been called for a settlement on the
11
    record.
12
              Counsel, please make your appearances beginning with
13
    Plaintiff.
14
              MR. DIPASQUALE: Good afternoon. James DiPasquale for
15
    the Plaintiffs. And I am here with both Robert Baldwin and
16
    Walter Henriquez.
17
              THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon to all of you.
              MR. SMITH: Lex Smith for the Honolulu Liquor
18
    Commission. Mr. Salvador Petilos is in the courtroom, the
19
20
    Director of the Liquor Commission. My co-counsel Aaron Mun and
2.1
    Zachary Shikada are also present.
              THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon to each of you
22
    as well.
23
              So you folks have notified first Judge Smith and then
24
25
    me that you have reached a settlement of the ongoing trial. So
```

Case 1:21-cv-00457-MWJS-KJM

```
Document 274 Filed 10/21/24 Page 3 of 8
                           PageID.8550
 1
    we've taken a pause to place that settlement on the record.
    Which counsel would like to place the material terms on the
 2
    record? Mr. Smith? Go ahead.
 3
 4
              MR. SMITH: Payment from the City to the Defendants
 5
    (sic) of $670,000. The cases that will be dismissed are the
    instant case, as well as the UIPA lawsuit filed by Mr.
 6
 7
    Sobieralski and the two OIP appeals that Mr. Sobieralski has
    pending in the Office of Information Practices.
 8
 9
              The parties will work together for language that will
10
    provide for the implementation on a reasonable schedule of the
11
    recommendations of the Hui Chen Report or other alternative ways
12
    to achieve those objectives, as well as any objectives that have
13
    not yet been achieved from past audits of the Liquor Commission.
14
    What did I forget?
15
              Oh, so additionally, Mr. Sobieralski -- the Plaintiffs
16
    are representing, and we will ask Mr. DiPasquale to make this
17
    representation on the record, that they are confident that Mr.
```

Sobieralski will cease making posts on the Internet regarding the Liquor Commission and will stop making UIPA requests to the City regarding the same. I said payment to Defendants. I'm not going to pay myself. Payment to the Plaintiffs of \$670,000.

Okay. And then I assume standard release. THE COURT:

MR. SMITH: Standard mutual releases.

THE COURT: Mutual. So the City's going to release?

25 It's not often mutual with the Government on one side.

18

19

20

21

22

23

```
1
              MR. SMITH:
                          You're right. And the City has not made
    any claims here, so it will be a standard release --
 2
              THE COURT: Unilateral release.
 3
              MR. SMITH: -- unilateral release and dismissal.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: And each side to bear its own fees and
    costs?
 6
 7
              MR. SMITH:
                         That's correct.
                         Dismissal with prejudice.
 8
              THE COURT:
 9
              MR. SMITH:
                          Right.
                          Okay. And then on your end, Mr. Smith,
10
              THE COURT:
11
    this is ultimately subject to formal City Council approval?
12
              MR. SMITH: That's correct, Your Honor.
13
              THE COURT:
                          Okay. Thank you. All right. With all of
14
    that, Mr. DiPasquale, do you have any edits or additions to the
15
    terms that Mr. Smith put on the record?
16
              MR. DIPASQUALE: Subject to any clarifications and
17
    final revisions that are to be entered into the -- into by the
18
    parties formally.
19
              The one thing I would like to address is that there
20
    will be a reporting requirement. I believe we discussed a
21
    quarterly reporting requirement to Your Honor, to facilitate the
22
    review and implementation to the extent that is necessary.
23
              With respect to Mr. Sobieralski, I did have a phone
24
    conversation with him, and he has agreed to cease the filing of
25
    UIPA requests in connection with this and other matters related
```

- 1 to the Liquor Commission. He has also agreed that, subject to
- 2 Defense counsel's representation that his firm would forego, and
- 3 | certainly release any claims that they might otherwise have
- 4 against him independently, he will agree in good faith to refrain
- 5 from all actions set forth on the record moments earlier by Mr.
- 6 Smith.
- 7 THE COURT: All right. Any quarrel with those
- 8 additions, Mr. Smith?
- 9 MR. SMITH: Those are fine with us.
- 10 THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask each of you, Mr.
- 11 DiPasquale, do you have authority to agree to these settlement
- 12 terms?
- MR. DIPASQUALE: Yes.
- 14 THE COURT: Okay. And you turned to Mr. Baldwin. I'm
- 15 | not sure exactly who -- if there's a client rep here who can --
- 16 Mr. Baldwin, I know you the best. Mr. Baldwin, do you agree to
- 17 | these settlement terms?
- MR. BALDWIN: I do.
- 19 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Walter -- Mr. Enriquez,
- 20 do you agree to these settlement terms?
- MR. ENRIQUEZ: I do.
- THE COURT: All right. Thank you. And Mr. Smith, on
- 23 behalf of the Liquor Commission, do you agree to these settlement
- 24 terms?
- MR. SMITH: Yes. Subject to approval by the City

```
1
    Council.
 2
              THE COURT: Right. Okay. So, thank you.
              I do find, based upon all of your statements, the
 3
 4
    parties have agreed to the essential terms of a valid and
 5
    enforceable settlement agreement -- conditional settlement
    agreement, I should say. The one condition being City Council
 6
 7
    approval.
 8
              So I will, I guess, in a sense, stay your trial
 9
    proceedings -- and I did speak with Judge Smith a few minutes ago
10
    -- to allow that process to go forward. It usually takes roughly
11
    two months, sometimes a little faster, sometimes a little longer.
12
    And so we'll work -- all of you will work together, and quickly
13
    and in earnest to achieve those settlement conditions.
14
              Should we set -- I typically -- I've done other
15
    settlements with Mr. Smith -- a status conference with me in a
16
    month or so, you know, to keep tabs on that process? What makes
17
    sense on your end, Mr. Smith?
              MR. SMITH: Three weeks or a month sounds right to me.
18
19
              THE COURT: Okay. Let me see if we can possibly pull
20
    up my calendar, and you guys can too. And we'll look for
21
    something in like the second week of November, maybe for a Zoom
22
    status conference on where that process stands. How about
23
    Wednesday, November 6th, at 9:30?
24
              MR. SMITH:
                          That's fine for us.
25
              MR. DIPASQUALE: I do have another hearing that
```

```
1
    morning, at that time.
 2
              THE COURT: How about the 7th, Mr. DiPasquale?
              MR. DIPASOUALE: I'm available.
 3
 4
              THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Smith doesn't have a calendar,
 5
    so we can put it anywhere.
              MR. SMITH: We can do it.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: So, 9:30 on November 7th, for a status
    conference on where things stand with you getting your approval
 8
 9
    from City Council.
10
              Okay. I don't think I have anything else to cover with
11
    each of you other than to thank you for continuing -- really,
12
    each counsel, you continued to work professionally throughout,
13
    meaning you were zealously litigating the trial and
14
    simultaneously keeping professional discussions about resolving
15
    the case. And that's exceedingly rare, to be able to do both
16
    things at the same time.
17
              And so I really do appreciate it. I'm sure your
    clients do as well. I'm sure Judge Smith does. So thank you
18
19
    all. If there are any hiccups along the way, please reach out to
20
    me before that status conference. I'm here to help.
2.1
              MR. DIPASQUALE: Thank you, Judge.
22
              MR. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
                          Thank you. We'll be in recess.
              THE COURT:
24
              THE CLERK: All rise. Court is adjourned.
25
         (Proceedings concluded at 1:23 p.m.)
```

CERTIFICATE

I, Jessica B. Cahill, court approved transcriber, do hereby certify that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §753, the foregoing is a complete, true, and correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter and that the transcript page format is in conformance with the regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States.

Dated: October 17, 2024

Jessica B. Cahill, CER/CET-708